

AD 635499

DEVELOPMENT OF NUTRITIONALLY DEFINED METABOLIC DIETS FOR AEROSPACE TRAVEL

H. HOLLENDER
H. A. DYMSZA
M. KLICKA

CLEARINGHOUSE		U.S. ARMY NATICK LABORATORIES	
FOR FEDERAL SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION			
Hardcopy	Microfiche		
\$1.00	\$.50	16	24
PP			
ARCHIVE COPY			

DECEMBER 1965

Distribution of this document
is unlimited



AEROSPACE MEDICAL RESEARCH LABORATORIES
AEROSPACE MEDICAL DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO

Notices

When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related Government procurement operation, the Government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever. The fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data, is not to be regarded as an implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Requests for copies of this report should be directed to either of the addressees listed below, as applicable:

Federal Government agencies and their contractors registered with Defense Documentation Center (DDC):

DDC
Cameron Station
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Non-DDC users (stock quantities are available for sale from):

Chief, Input Section
Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific & Technical Information (CFSTI)
Sills Building
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, Virginia 22151

Organizations and individuals receiving reports via the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories' automatic mailing lists should submit the addressograph plate stamp on the report envelope or refer to the code number when corresponding about change of address or cancellation.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.

BLANK PAGE

DEVELOPMENT OF NUTRITIONALLY DEFINED METABOLIC DIETS FOR AEROSPACE TRAVEL

H. HOLLENDER
H. A. DYMSZA
M. KLICKA

ACCESSION OR	
CFSTI	WHITE SECTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
DDC	DIFF SECTION <input type="checkbox"/>
UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION	<i>Per Statement on Roc</i>
BY <i>Sm</i>	
DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY CODES	
DMY.	AVAIL. CODE or SPECIAL
<i>/</i>	

Distribution of this document
is unlimited

Foreword

This study was initiated by the Biomedical Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. The original research and development work was accomplished by the Food Division, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Massachusetts, under Military Interdepartmental Purchase Request No. AMD-AM 4-40011, "Development of Food Items to Meet Air Force Requirements for Space Travel." This work represents development of nutritionally defined metabolic diets for space feeding. Mr. F. P. Mehrlick, Director, was the principal investigator for the Food Division. Elwood W. Speckmann, PhD, of the Biospecialties Branch was the contract monitor for the Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories. The work was performed in support of Project 7164 "Biomedical Criteria for Aerospace Flight," Task 716405 "Aerospace Nutrition." The research sponsored by this MIPR was started in January 1964 and was completed in December 1964.

This technical report has been reviewed and is approved.

WAYNE H. McCANDLESS
Technical Director
Biomedical Laboratory
Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories

Abstract

Determination of the precise nutritional requirements of men in space requires a knowledge of, and careful control of, all environmental factors including the food consumed. The most suitable diet for experimental metabolic studies in a space system is therefore a nutrient defined diet composed of highly purified ingredients of known composition. Data compiled during the development of a nutrient defined diet in various flavors and physical forms are presented. The formulation of a liquid form of this diet including the nutrient composition, emulsion stability, antifoaming agents, flavor selection, and the techniques of packaging are discussed. An assessment of the organoleptic quality of the U.S. Army Natick Laboratories (NLABS) liquid nutrient defined diet by taste panel experts revealed a rating of 6.0 or above for the vanilla and chocolate flavors using a 9 point hedonic scale. Although slightly acceptable to taste panelists, this diet needs major flavor improvement if it is to be considered for use as the sole nutrient source for humans for prolonged periods of time. At the present time, this nutrient defined formulation is considered the best available liquid diet for sole support of humans in aerospace systems.

BLANK PAGE

SECTION I

Introduction

Determination of the precise nutritional requirements of man in space requires a knowledge of, and careful control of, all environmental factors including the food consumed. The most suitable diet for experimental metabolic studies in a space system is therefore a nutrient defined diet composed of highly purified ingredients of known composition.

Early in 1964, after surveying the availability and composition of commercial and other formula diets, none of these diets were found to have sufficient nutrients for the present experimental studies. Accordingly, preliminary feasibility studies on the development of a nutrient defined diet were conducted by the Metabolism Section, Nutrition Branch, Food Division, U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, Natick, Mass. (NLABS). A number of crude prototype samples of semisynthetic diet in dry, water-dispersable compressed bar and freeze dried forms were prepared and evaluated at NLABS.

Tentative diet specifications were discussed with Air Force and NASA personnel in April 1964. Broad guidelines established for the metabolic diet called for a combination of purified components to supply a daily allowance of 2800 kcal. The diet was to contain 60-70 gm protein, fat was to make up to 40 percent of the total calories, and carbohydrates were to furnish the remainder of the energy. To facilitate constant intake and alleviate stability and off-taste problems, vitamins and minerals were not included in the diet specifications. The vitamins and minerals were to be supplied in capsules. At that time, the nutrient defined metabolic diet was also to be developed in many different food forms and should incorporate many of the physical properties of natural food.

Further discussions with Air Force representatives indicated continued interest in these types of products for their various research studies. In fact, the Air Force was interested in accelerating the program.

Based on these discussions, laboratory-scale development of liquid and candy-type metabolic diets proceeded at an increased pace during the summer of 1964. In June, a request was made for NLABS to supply the Air Force with 700 man days of the best available liquid nutrient defined formulation. This diet was fed chilled as the sole nutrient source (except vitamins and minerals) to human volunteers confined to a simulator for 28 consecutive days.* The specifications for this diet were prepared by the Biomedical Laboratory, Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, and were as follows:

- a. To be a liquid or a dry form capable of reconstitution to a liquid.
- b. To supply a daily allowance of 2800 kcal with 70-75 gm high quality protein, approximately 415 gm carbohydrate (corn syrup solids), approximately 90 gm fat (vegetable-origin), a maximum of 800 mg calcium, 500-800 mg phosphorous, and no more than 8 gm of sodium chloride.
- c. To be so designed that 10% of the energy was derived from protein, 30% from fat, and 60% from carbohydrate.

*Walch, 1965, Personal Communication, School of Aviation Medicine, Aerospace Medical Division, Brooks AFB, Tex.

- d. To have a bland flavor.
- e. To contain no trace of vitamins and minor elements.
- f. To be packaged as a sterile canned liquid if possible. Otherwise, freeze dried and packaged in conveniently sized containers.
- g. To be stable for 90 days at room temperature.

As NLABS were not in a position to process a production quantity of this product, contacts were made with custom packers of such products and a service contract was subsequently awarded to Amboy Sterile Packaging Company, Amboy, Illinois. The required amount of product was processed on technical requirements furnished by NLABS.

SECTION II

Methods

Development began with the formulation of a simple model basic diet where different individual or various combinations of ingredients could be changed providing the amounts of total protein, carbohydrate, and fat remained rather constant. For example, purified protein sources, such as casein and egg albumin, were used individually or together in various combinations to make up 4% to 5% by weight of the diet. It was convenient to use hydrolyzed corn starch in the form of corn syrup as the major carbohydrate source. As with semisynthetic animal diets used in nutrition research, corn oil and other vegetable oils of known origin were considered satisfactory sources of fat in the initial diets. Stabilizing, emulsifying, flavoring, antifoaming, and other additives were added as experimental variables to this diet. The nutrient composition of the basic diet developed was as follows: purified proteins, 4-5%; carbohydrate, 24%; fat, 6%; additives as required, and water to make to 100% by weight.

Ingredients – Since the diet was to be nutrient defined, every effort was made to obtain the most purified ingredients available which were of known chemical composition and which were consistent with practical food technology considerations. The food ingredients used are listed in table I. Table II lists the manufacturer's chemical and physical data for the protein and carbohydrate used in the canned diets.

Emulsion Stability and Foaming – The following are typical additive combinations which stabilized the oil-in-water emulsion system of laboratory produced liquid diets.

<i>Protein</i>	<i>Emulsifier Mixture</i>
Sodium caseinate	0.1% algin, 0.1% CMC, 0.05% monoglycerides, plus 1% calcium citrate
Sodium caseinate and egg albumin, 1:1	0.1% algin and 0.05% monoglycerides

ASEPTIC CANNING

Amløoy Sterile Packaging Company, which uses the continuous short time, high temperature process method of the Dole Aseptic Canning System, was awarded contracts to produce the two large lots of the liquid nutrient defined formulation. The processing method combined flash sterilization and cooling with aseptic methods in canning, thus eliminating the retorting and subsequent cooling required in conventional canning procedures. As a result, more of the original nutritive value, flavor, color, and texture were retained.

In this process, the liquid diet was pumped continuously under pressure through the heating section of the sterilizer where it was quickly brought up to sterilization temperature of 144.4C then through a holding tower maintained at 144.4C for 8 seconds to insure complete sterilization, and finally through cooling towers to the aseptic canning unit. Sanitary-type cans and covers were sterilized with superheated steam at a temperature about 280C. Thus, a cold sterile product flowed from the heat exchanger towers into sterile cans and was sealed, in a continuous aseptic operation. Diet formulations are given in table III, and the chemical composition data on NLABS Metabolic Diet No. 1 are shown in table IV.

SECTION III

Results

Flavor — Laboratory batches of the diet were prepared in several flavors, and personnel were asked to select two flavors from samples of diet flavored with (1) vanilla, (2) banana, (3) butter-scotch, (4) chocolate No. 4, (5) chocolate No. 5, and (6) chocolate No. 6. The flavors chosen were vanilla and chocolate No. 5.

While the initial canned diets had a strong unpleasant aftertaste, progress has been made in the improvement of diet palatability by the use of flavoring agents.

Acceptability and Palatability of Canned Diets — Taste panel tests conducted by the Acceptance Laboratory of the NLABS' Psychology Laboratories, Pioneering Research Division, were used to evaluate initial reaction to NLABS canned metabolic diets. Acceptability scores of NLABS and other liquid diets, based on a 9 point hedonic scale, are given in table V. The scores indicate that some NLABS second generation liquid diets have received ratings of 6.0 or above.

When freeze-dried, the canned liquid diets gave products which offered a potential for good acceptability when eaten "as is," however, some difficulty was experienced in reconstitution back to a liquid form. Considerable time and effort were required, and a small amount of diet residue that did not go into solution remained. Laboratory tests showed that sonic homogenization of the liquid diet for 10 minutes before freeze drying considerably improved rehydration time and reduced nonsoluble residue particles.

SECTION IV

Discussion

Tests indicated that, while emulsion stability was dependent on the formulation used, a combination of additives and mechanical homogenization usually produced the best results. Inclusion of a few percent of pregelatinized starch or processed waxy maize starch also appeared to increase emulsion stability. Foaming was a problem only with egg albumin formulations and was minimized with the use of 30 ppm of food grade silicone antifoam.

The selection of vanilla and chocolate as flavors stemmed not only from the taste panel evaluation, but also from the fact that many nutritionists are of the opinion that less flavor fatigue will occur in long-term feedings on the more bland and common flavors than on the more exotic or intense flavors, such as coffee, strawberry, or cherry.

These data further indicate that the various flavors of the NLABS liquid nutrient defined diet rated superior to similar flavors of commercially available liquid formulations in terms of organoleptic quality.

Human feeding studies for 28-consecutive days using the NLABS liquid nutrient defined formulation revealed that these formulations were tolerable but were not very acceptable even in a chilled condition.

The recommendation to use liquid diets for aerospace missions by the Space Science Board, National Research Council, Washington, D.C., has promoted considerable research to formulate complete liquid nutrient diets. The results contained herein indicate the progress to date in formulation and production of a liquid diet for use in aerospace missions. The development of such a diet under Air Force guidance has progressed rapidly, yet still requires major improvements in organoleptic quality for prolonged human consumption.

The ability to alter the physical form as well as the flavor of a nutrient defined diet offers many advantages. Development of freeze dried, compressed bite size, chewable candy and baked forms, in addition to the liquid form of this metabolic diet are now under intense evaluation by NLABS. The composition of these formulas will be generally similar to that described herein.

TABLE I
INGREDIENTS USED IN DEVELOPMENT OF NLABS'
NUTRIENT DEFINED METABOLIC DIETS

<i>Proteins —</i>	Sodium caseinate Calcium caseinate Potassium caseinate Lactalbumin Egg albumin Wheat gluten (in baking)	<i>Emulsifying Stabilizing or Thickening Agents —</i>	Algin Carrageenan Agar-agar Lecithin Gelatin Methyl cellulose Acetylated monoglycerides Mono- and Di- glycerides
<i>Carbohydrates —</i>	Sucrose Glucose Dextrine Corn starch Corn starch hydrolyzate (corn syrup) Pregelatinized or processed starches	<i>Flavors —</i>	Vanilla Chocolate Coffee Orange Cherry Cream soda Banana Butterscotch Caramel Coconut
<i>Fats —</i>	Corn oil Cottonseed oil Coconut fat Partially hydrogenated vegetable oil		
<i>Other Additives —</i>	Sodium cyclamate Sodium saccharin Sorbital Sodium chloride		

TABLE II

MANUFACTURER'S CHEMICAL AND PHYSICAL DATA FOR SOME
INGREDIENTS USED IN NLABS' CANNED METABOLIC DIETS

<i>Product</i>	<i>Technical Data</i>	<i>Percent by Weight</i>
Sodium Caseinate	Protein (N x 6.38) db	90.0
	Fat	1.5
	Ash	4.0
	Moisture	3.5
	Reducing substances	2.0 (maximum)
	pH (2% solution)	6.4
	Sodium	1.5
	Color	Cream white
	Particle size	Through 100 mesh
	Sediment	#2 ADMI disc
	Bacteria	
	Std plate count	Less than 30,000 per gm
	Thermophile	Less than 20,000 per gm
	Yeast and mold	Less than 100 per gm
	Coliform	None
Corn Syrup	Dextrose equivalent (DE)	42
	Baumé	43
	Moisture	19.7
	SO ₂	40 ppm (maximum)
	Ash	0.5
	Carbohydrate (db)	
	Monosaccharides (Dextrose)	5.9
	Disaccharides (Maltose)	44.4
	Trisaccharides	12.7
	Tetrasaccharides	3.3
	Penta & higher	33.7
	Viscosity	
	26.6C	560.0 poise
	37.7C	145.0 poise
	48.8C	49.0 poise
	Conversion	Acid-enzyme

TABLE III
INGREDIENT COMPOSITION OF NLABS NUTRIENT DEFINED
METABOLIC LIQUID DIETS

<i>Ingredient</i>	<i>NLABS No. 1</i>	<i>NLABS No. 2</i>	
	<i>Chocolate and Vanilla Percent by Weight</i>	<i>Chocolate Percent by Weight</i>	<i>Vanilla Percent by Weight</i>
Sodium caseinate	5.0	4.5	4.5
Hydrolyzed corn starch (42 DE, 80% solids corn syrup)	16.0	7.5	17.5
Hydrolyzed corn starch (42 DE, corn syrup solids)	—	6.0	—
Processed waxy maize starch	2.0	2.0	2.0
Sucrose	4.0	5.0	3.0
Corn oil	6.0	—	—
High stability partially hydrogenated vegetable oil	—	6.0	6.0
Flavor and emulsifier	0.3	1.7	0.3
Water	66.7	67.3	66.7
TOTAL	100.0	100.0	100.0

TABLE IV
NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF NLABS NUTRIENT DEFINED
METABOLIC LIQUID DIET No. 1*

	<i>Per 100 gm</i>		<i>Per Can 230 ml</i>		<i>Per 8 Cans (Daily Allowance)</i>	
	<i>Choc.</i>	<i>Vanilla</i>	<i>Choc.</i>	<i>Vanilla</i>	<i>Choc.</i>	<i>Vanilla</i>
Dry Matter, gm	30.8	30.5	70.8	70.2	566.0	562.0
Moisture, gm	69.2	69.5	159.0	160.0	1272.0	1280.0
Protein, gm	4.7	4.6	10.8	10.6	86.4	84.8
Fat, gm	6.3	6.3	14.5	14.5	116.0	116.0
Carbohydrate,** gm	19.6	19.4	45.1	44.6	361.0	357.0
Calories,** kcal.	154.0	153.0	354.0	352.0	2832.0	2816.0
Ash, gm	0.34	0.27	0.78	0.62	6.2	5.0
Calcium, mg	10.8	10.6	24.8	24.4	198.4	195.2
Phosphorous, mg	50.0	40.0	115.0	92.0	92.0	736.0
Sodium, mg	180.0	120.0	410.0	280.0	3280.0	2240.0
Potassium, mg	15.5	4.2	35.65	9.66	285.20	77.28
Magnesium, mg	5.0	2.4	11.50	5.52	92.00	44.16

*Analyses not available at this date for NLABS No. 2 diets.

**Calculated.

TABLE V
ACCEPTABILITY SCORES OF NLABS AND OTHER
LIQUID DIETS*

<i>Liquid Diets</i>	<i>No. Tasters</i>	<i>Frequency of Scores</i>										<i>Total Score</i>	<i>Ave. Score</i>
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
NLABS Choc. (111964)	37	1	1	3	4	3	9	6	7	3	222	6.0 (a)***	
(N) Chocolate	37	5	4	0	6	4	6	9	3	0	180	4.9 (b)	
NLABS Coffee (111964PM)	36	1	1	3	3	5	8	7	7	1	213	5.9	
NLABS Choc. No. 1 (121264)	40	0	3	5	7	8	8	8	1	0	201	5.0 (a)	
NLABS Choc. No. 2	40	0	2	6	6	6	7	9	4	0	213	5.3 (a)	
NLABS Choc. No. 3	40	0	3	1	10	9	9	8	0	0	204	5.1 (a)	
(N) Chocolate	40	6	6	6	5	4	6	4	3	0	164	4.1 (b)	
NLABS Vanilla (ADL) (11165)	30	0	1	6	2	3	8	6	3	1	166	5.5 (a)	
(N) Vanilla	30	3	2	5	1	5	5	6	3	0	147	4.9 (a)	
NLABS Choc. No. 4 (11365)	40	1	1	2	2	7	7	9	10	1	246	6.2 (a)	
NLABS Choc. No. 5	40	1	1	1	3	5	7	15	7	0	246	6.2 (a)	
NLABS Choc. No. 6	40	1	1	1	3	7	7	14	6	0	241	6.0 (a)	
(N) Chocolate	40	4	4	4	7	2	9	6	3	1	191	4.8(b)	
NLABS Banana (ADL) (11965)	30	1	1	1	3	3	8	6	6	1	180	6.0 (a)	
(S) Banana	30	3	3	4	8	4	1	6	1	0	129	4.3 (b)	
NLABS Butterscotch (ADL) (11265)	30	0	1	4	9	4	3	2	6	1	159	5.3 (a)	
(M) Butterscotch	30	1	3	0	6	2	6	10	1	1	164	5.5 (a)	
(P) Choc. (11365)**	36	1	4	8	4	3	5	7	4	0	175	4.9	
(P) Vanilla	36	1	2	2	4	1	11	11	4	0	207	5.8	
(P) Strawberry	36	1	1	0	1	5	7	12	8	1	231	6.4	
NLABS Choc. No. 4 (11465)**	30	1	3	1	3	1	6	6	7	2	179	6.0 (a)	
(P) Chocolate	30	0	2	6	9	0	5	3	3	2	151	5.0 (b)	

*Conducted by Acceptance Laboratory, Psychology Laboratory, Pioneering Research Division, U.S. Army, Natick Laboratories.

**Solids content adjusted to be similar to NLABS diets.

***Scores with common letters are statistically similar by analysis of variance.

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R&D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1 ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) U.S. Army Natick Laboratories Food Division Natick, Massachusetts 01762		2a REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	
		2b GROUP N/A	
3 REPORT TITLE DEVELOPMENT OF NUTRITIONALLY DEFINED METABOLIC DIETS FOR AEROSPACE TRAVEL			
4 DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Final report, January 1964 - December 1964			
5 AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Hollender, H. Dymsza, H. A. Klicka, M.			
6 REPORT DATE December 1965		7a TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 15	7b NO. OF REFS 1
8a CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. MIPR No. AMD-AM 4-40011		8a ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
b. PROJECT NO. 7164			
c. Task No. 716405		8b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report)	
d		AMRL-TR-65-218	
10 AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this document is unlimited.			
11 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12 SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Aerospace Medical Div., Air Force Systems Command, Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio	
13 ABSTRACT Determination of the precise nutritional requirements of men in space requires a knowledge of, and careful control of, all environmental factors including the food consumed. The most suitable diet for experimental metabolic studies in a space system is therefore a nutrient defined diet composed of highly purified ingredients of known composition. Data compiled during the development of a nutrient defined diet in various flavors and physical forms are presented. The formulation of a liquid form of this diet including the nutrient composition, emulsion stability, antifoaming agents, flavor selection, and the techniques of packaging are discussed. An assessment of the organoleptic quality of the U.S. Army Natick Laboratories (NLABS) liquid nutrient defined diet by taste panel experts revealed a rating of 6.0 or above for the vanilla and chocolate flavors using a 9 point hedonic scale. Although slightly acceptable to taste panelists, this diet needs major flavor improvement if it is to be considered for use as the sole nutrient source for humans for prolonged periods of time. At the present time, this nutrient defined formulation is considered the best available liquid diet for sole support of humans in aerospace systems.			

KEY WORDS

Metabolic liquid diets
 Nutritional experiment
 Liquid diet, space
 Organoleptic acceptability
 Packaging techniques

LINK A

LINK B

LINK C

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

ROLE

WT

INSTRUCTIONS

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (*corporate author*) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedures. I.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (*either by the originator or by the sponsor*), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those

imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

- (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."
- (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."
- (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through _____."
- (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through _____."
- (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through _____."

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (*paying for*) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.